To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate’s name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person’s name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

**SAMPLE BALLOT**

**ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT Vote for ONE**

- BIDEN and HARRIS ............... Democratic
- TRUMP and PENCE ............... Republican
- JORGENSEN and COHEN ............. Libertarian

**REGISTER OF PROBATE Vote for ONE**

- COLLEEN MARIE BAKER ............. Democratic
- JERRY P. MCDERMOTT .............. Republican
- PATRICK W. MCDERMOTT ........... Democratic

**COUNTY COMMISSIONER Vote for not more than TWO**

- JOSEPH P. SHEA ..................... Democratic
- RICHARD R. STARR .................. Republican
- HEATHER HAMILTON ................. Libertarian

**COUNTY TREASURER Vote for ONE**

- MICHAEL G. BELLOTTI .............. Democratic
- JERRY P. MCDERMOTT .............. Republican
- PATRICK W. MCDERMOTT ........... Democratic

**SHERIFF (TO FILL VACANCY) Vote for ONE**

- JERRY P. MCDERMOTT .............. Republican
- PATRICK W. MCDERMOTT ........... Democratic

**SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT Vote for ONE**

- WALTER T. FOMBY ................. Democratic
- WILLIAM J. DRISSELL, JR ............ Democratic

**COUNCILLOR Vote for ONE**

- ROBERT L. JARVINEN .............. Democratic
- TIMOTHY J. WILLIAMS .............. Republican

**SENATOR IN CONGRESS Vote for ONE**

- EDWARD J. MARKEY ................. Democratic
- KEVIN J. O’CONNOR ................. Republican

**REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS Vote for ONE**

- ROBERT L. JARVINEN .............. Democratic
- TIMOTHY J. WILLIAMS .............. Republican

**REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE Vote for not more than ONE**

- L. U. HILLS (4 YEARS) HOLBROOK .......... Democratic
- L. U. HILLS (4 YEARS) MELBOURNE ......... Republican
- L. U. HILLS (4 YEARS) RANDOLPH ......... Democratic

**VOTE BOTH SIDES**
QUESTION 1
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

This proposed law would require that motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities be provided with expanded access to mechanical data related to vehicle maintenance and repair.

Starting with model year 2022, the proposed law would require manufacturers of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to equip any such vehicles that use telematics systems — systems that collect and wirelessly transmit mechanical data to a remote server — with a standardized open access data platform. Owners of motor vehicles with telematics systems would get access to mechanical data through a mobile device application. With vehicle owner authorization, independent repair facilities (those not affiliated with a manufacturer) and independent dealerships would be able to retrieve mechanical data stored in a motor vehicle's on-board diagnostic system, except through an authorization process standardized across all makes and models and administered by an entity unaffiliated with the manufacturer.

Motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities could enforce this law through state consumer protection laws and recover civil penalties of the greater of triple damages or $10,000 per violation.

A YES VOTE would make no change in the law governing access to vehicles' wirelessly transmitted mechanical data.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law governing access to vehicles' wirelessly transmitted mechanical data.

YES

NO

SUMMARY
This proposed law would implement a voting system known as “ranked-choice voting,” in which voters rank one or more candidates by order of preference. Ranked-choice voting would be used in primary and general elections for all Massachusetts statewide offices, state legislative offices, federal congressional offices, and certain other offices beginning in 2022. Ranked-choice voting would not be used in elections for president, county commissioner, or regional district school committee member.

Under the proposed law, votes would be counted in a series of rounds. In the first round, if one candidate received more than 50 percent of the first-place votes, that candidate would be declared the winner and no other rounds would be necessary. If no candidate received more than 50 percent of the first-place votes, the candidate or candidates who received the fewest first-place votes would be eliminated and, in the next round, each vote for an eliminated candidate would instead be counted toward the next highest-ranked candidate on that voter’s ballot. Depending on the number of candidates, additional rounds of counting could occur, with the last-place candidate or candidates in each round being eliminated and the votes for an eliminated candidate going to the voter’s next choice out of the remaining candidates.

The Secretary of State would be required to issue regulations to implement the proposed law and conduct a voter education campaign about the ranked-choice voting process. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2022.

A YES VOTE would create a system of ranked-choice voting in which voters would have the option to rank candidates in order of preference and votes would be counted in rounds, eliminating candidates with the lowest votes until one candidate has received a majority.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing voting and how votes are counted.

YES

NO

QUESTION 2
LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 5, 2020?

The following will be exempt from the surcharge: (1) property owned and occupied as a domicile by any person who qualifies for low income housing or low or moderate income senior housing in the town, as defined in Section 2 of said Act; (2) $100,000 of the value of each taxable parcel of residential real property and

A YES VOTE would provide motor vehicle owners and independent repair facilities with expanded access to wirelessly transmitted mechanical data relating to their vehicle’s maintenance and repair.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws governing access to vehicles’ wirelessly transmitted mechanical data.

YES

NO

SUMMARY
Sections 3 to 7 of Chapter 44B of the General Laws of Massachusetts, also known as the Community Preservation Act (the “Act”), establish a dedicated funding source to (1) acquire, rehabilitate, restore and preserve historic properties; (2) help meet local affordable housing needs; (3) acquire, create, preserve, rehabilitate and restore parks, playgrounds and athletic fields; and (4) acquire, create and preserve land for open space, drinking water supplies and conservation areas.

In Milton, the Act would be funded by annual distributions provided by a state trust fund and an additional excise of 1% on the annual tax levy on real property. In fiscal year 2022, the proposed law would provide an initial distribution of $300,000 to $500,000 to the Town of Milton. A YES VOTE would provide an initial distribution to the Town of Milton.

A NO VOTE would provide no distribution to the Town of Milton.

YES

NO

SAMPLE BALLOT
YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING